

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/642,2	42 08/17	/00 ANDRYSEK	Т	UD&LP049
022434		П НМ22/0628	EX	CAMINER
BEYER WEAVER & THOMAS LLP			LUKTON.D	
P.O. BOX	778		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BERKELEY	CA 94704-	0778	1653	7
			DAIL MAILED.	06/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/642,242

App...sant(s)

Andrysek

Examiner

David Lukton

Art Unit **1653**



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. 	36 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed				
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl	y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will				
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this				
communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute	cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
 Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status					
1) X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Jan 8, 200</u>	01				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This action	on is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	cept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is rte Quay/1835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>	is/are pending in the applica				
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from considera				
5)	is/are allowed.				
6)	is/are rejected.				
7)	is/are objected to.				
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-32</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a pproved b) disapproved.				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior	rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	peen received in Application No.				
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the companion. 	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic pr	·				
74) Acknowledgement is made of a diality for domestic pr	ionity and of 0.0.0. § 110(0).				
Attachment(s)					
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

- I. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10, 13, 15, 17, 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, drawn to a method of increasing viscosity.
- II. Claims 2, 25, 27, 29, 31, drawn to a composition that does not require the presence of alcohols.
- III. Claim 30, drawn to a compostion that comprises the ingredients of the Group II, together with other specific compounds
- IV. Claims 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 23, 32, drawn to a composition that requires the presence of alcohols.

Claim 4 is not grouped; claim 4 is drawn to a method, whereas claim 3 is drawn to a composition. In the event that claim 4 is amended so that it is properly subgeneric to another claim, it will be grouped appropriately.

The claimed inventions are distinct.

Groups II and IV are distinct. First, they are distinguished by the requirement in claim 3 that an alcohol be present. This is not required by claim 2. In addition, claim 3 recites the following (part (c)): "gel-creating substances selected from ... and/or unsaturated fatty alcohols". Thus, part (c) of claim 3 can be interpreted as not requiring "polyglycerol esters" at all.

Serial No. 09/642,242 Art Unit 1653

Claim 30 has been sequestered from Group II. While claim 30 does not currently recite any specific components, applicants may amend claim 30 to recite such, in which case a new search would be required. However, in the event that Group II is elected, and claims therein found allowable, it is likely that novelty would accrue to claim 30, provided that claim 30 retained the limitations of the Group II claims. In such a case, claim 30 would likely be rejoined with Group II.

Groups I and II are regarded as distinct at this point. While Groups II and I are neither related as "product and process of use", nor as "product and process of making", it is still likely the case that, in the event Group II were elected, and claims therein found allowable, novelty would accrue to Group I claims, provided that Group I claims retained the limitations of the Group II claims. In such a case, it is likely that Group I would be be rejoined with Group II.

Applicant is advised that for the response to this requirement to be complete, an election of the invention to be examined must be indicated, even if the requirement is traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).

In addition to the foregoing, applicants are required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to elect the following species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable:

- a) a specific "hydrophobic active ingredient";
- b) a specific "polyglycerol ester", including a value of "n" (degree of polymerization) and whatever "R" groups may be present; currently, the independent claims permit, but do not require, the presence of two different "polyglycerol esters" [i.e., there is overlap between component (b) and component (c)]. The description of the elected specie should include a statement as to whether two different "polyglycerol esters" must be present, or whether there can be just one; In the event that Group IV is elected, a specific "gel creating substance" corresponding to part (c) of claim 3 should be elected.

 c) a specific mixture corresponding to part (d) of claims 1, 2 or 3 (triglyceride macogol

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a generic claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

glycerol esters, partial glycerides, fatty acids, macrogol esters of fatty acids, etc.).

Upon allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are witten in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentable distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103 of the other invention.

Serial No. 09/642,242 Art Unit 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton. Phone: (703) 308-3213.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DANID LUKTON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800